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Recently, the Center for the Enhancement of Teaching and Learning (CETL) has offered professional development opportunities to faculty members promoting more interactive pedagogies to engage students. To what extent are those teaching methods currently used?

The Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE), administered in Spring 2007 at Fresno State, includes 10 items related to the ways faculty use class time. 442 faculty members ( 86 who teach lower division classes, 232 in upper division and 124 in others) responded to the survey. This report analyzes faculty responses on these 10 items to get a general sense of the type of in-class activities faculty utilize in conducting their class. Results are categorized by lower (LD) and upper division (UD).

## Findings

1. Lecture is the major in-class activity. $49 \%$ of LD faculty and $38 \%$ of UD faculty reported spending $50 \%$ or more of their class time lecturing.
2. Teacher-led discussion is the second most utilized in-class activity. About $38 \%$ of both LD and UD faculty members spend 20-49\% of their class time using this method of instruction. About $46 \%$ of LD and UD faculty spend $1-19 \%$ of their class time leading discussions.
3. Most faculty members ( $71 \%$ ) spend $1-19 \%$ of their class time testing and evaluating.
4. Most faculty members either do not use teacher-student shared responsibility, small group activities and student presentations or they do so less than $20 \%$ of the time.
5. The majority of faculty in LD and UD do not spend any time in the following four in-class activities: performances in applied and fine arts (at least $82 \%$ of faculty), experiential (at least 60\%), student computer use (at least 57\%) and inclass writing (at least 55\%).
6. UD faculty members spend more time in experiential activities (labs, field work, art exhibits, etc) than faculty teaching LD classes. However, the majority of both LD and UD faculty members spend none of their class time on experiential components.

Table 1: How Faculty Use Their Class Time*

| In-class activity | Division | Respondent \# | Response options** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0\% | 1-19\% | 20-49\% | 50\% or more |
| Lecture | LD | 84 | 2\% | 14\% | 34\% | 49\% |
|  | UD | 221 | 3\% | 22\% | 36\% | 38\% |
| Teacher-led discussion | LD | 83 | 2\% | 46\% | 39\% | 13\% |
|  | UD | 221 | 4\% | 47\% | 38\% | 11\% |
| Teacher-student shared responsibility (seminar, | LD | 80 | 38\% | 36\% | 20\% | 8\% |
| discussion, etc.) | UD | 208 | 40\% | 34\% | 21\% | 4\% |
| Student computer use | LD | 84 | 64\% | 25\% | 3\% | 7\% |
|  | UD | 218 | 57\% | 25\% | 11\% | 8\% |
| Small group activities | LD | 83 | 28\% | 38\% | 26\% | 8\% |
|  | UD | 221 | 30\% | 46\% | 19\% | 4\% |
| Student presentations | LD | 83 | 52\% | 39\% | 9\% | 1\% |
|  | UD | 220 | 44\% | 39\% | 15\% | 3\% |
| In-class writing | LD | 84 | 56\% | 33\% | 6\% | 3\% |
|  | UD | 217 | 55\% | 38\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Testing and evaluation | LD | 86 | 9\% | 71\% | 14\% | 5\% |
|  | UD | 224 | 11\% | 72\% | 15\% | 3\% |
| Performances in applied and fine arts (e.g.,dance, drama, music) | LD | 83 | 82\% | 10\% | 3\% | 4\% |
|  | UD | 213 | 85\% | 8\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| Experiential (labs, field work, art exhibits, etc.) | LD | 85 | 72\% | 14\% | 10\% | 5\% |
|  | UD | 216 | 60\% | 17\% | 15\% | 10\% |

Note:

* The question in 07 FSSE survey is "In your selected course section, on average, what percent of class time is spent on the following?".
** Refers to the four intervals of percentages of class time spent on an in-class activity. The percentages in cells are the percentages of faculty choosing a certain option.
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