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**Abstract**

The growth of service-learning in higher education is related to the way it both helps students achieve personal and academic goals and serves broader institutional goals of civic engagement for students and outreach to communities (Campus Compact 2000). This article looks closely at current research assessing the impact of academic service-learning in such areas as enhanced academic learning, instructional effectiveness, civic responsibility, appreciation of diversity, and student retention. Research has demonstrated that courses incorporating service learning components generally provide greater learning benefits than those that do not, including a deeper understanding of course material, a better understanding of the complex problems people face, and an ability to apply course material to new situations and real world problems. Research also suggests that faculty integration of service-learning pedagogy and practice enhances the achievement of curricular goals of the course, facilitates deeper faculty-student connections and better understanding of student learning styles, provides more meaningful engagement with and commitment to teaching, and promotes a greater sense of connection to other faculty and the institution. With regard to student retention, emerging research highlights the ways in which service-learning classes promote academic (cognitive) and social (affective) integration and facilitate the development of meaningful connections between students, faculty, and community members in ways that allow for diversity and encourage retention.

---

**DEFINING SERVICE LEARNING**

Service-learning is a pedagogical practice that integrates service and academic learning to promote increased understanding of course content while helping students develop knowledge, skills, and cognitive capacities to deal effectively with the complex social issues and problems. It is an approach that emphasizes reflection and field-based learning as a way to engage the learner personally with the curriculum. As pedagogy, service learning emphasizes meaningful student learning through applied, active, project-based learning that draws on multiple knowledge sources (academic, student knowledge and experience, and community knowledge) and provides students with ample opportunities for ethical and critical reflection and practice. By confronting issues and problems in complex natural contexts, service learning courses help students develop a deeper understanding of subject matter, a practical knowledge of community decision making processes, and strategies for transferring knowledge and problem solving skills to new situations. Effective service-learning classes are those that use service and civic engagement to integrate and enhance academic learning, not to take the place of it. Service-learning courses, when thoughtfully designed, combine content-driven, outcomes-based commitments with ample opportunity for learning and knowledge to grow from students’ service experiences.
The growth of service-learning in higher education is related to the way it both helps students achieve personal and academic goals and serves broader institutional goals of civic engagement for students and outreach to communities (Campus Compact 2000). The increasing status of service-learning as a legitimate and valued pedagogy is related, in large part, to shifting understandings about the nature of learning as a social and dialogical process. A growing body of scholarship from disciplines and traditions of thought as diverse as social psychology (Vygotsky 1978), cultural anthropology (Lave 1988; Lave and Wegner 1991), sociolinguistic theory (Volosinov 1986/1929; Wells 2001), and the cognitive sciences (Steinke and Duresh 1999; Eyler 2000) have demonstrated that “learning” is not a simple process of knowledge transmission from teacher to students but rather a multidimensional social practice where learning is supported by forms of apprenticeship (that is, relationships with others who have various kinds of expertise) and participation in specific, on-going social activities. In other words, students achieve academic mastery not simply by acquiring a particular body of knowledge they can recall on demand, but by developing a personal understanding of information through a process of interpersonal co-construction and problem-solving that depends on relations between themselves, university faculty and staff, their peers, and other educational partners.

It can be said that service-learning helps students develop not only as “traditional experts” but “expert learners” as well. Higher education has long been concerned with producing “traditional experts” – that is, people who have mastery of a body of knowledge and know answers to important questions in their disciplinary field. The contribution of service-learning pedagogy and practice is to also develop students as “expert learners,” that is, as people who are able to approach new situations flexibly, are skilled at acquiring new knowledge quickly and efficiently, and are able to learn throughout their lifetimes (Singham 2005). It is clear that helping students develop these kinds of “socially-responsive” intellectual skills is essential in a 21st century context that requires adaptability, sophisticated knowledge, problem-solving capacities, and life-long learning skills.

Unfortunately, though, most college students enter and leave college without the capacity and critical thinking abilities to be effective problem solvers (King 1992). Problem-solving draws on the capacity to recognize, frame, and address a problem and involves a wide range of skills and knowledge. For example, the ability to analyze what are called “ill-structured” problems (that is, problems that are complex and open-ended with no easy solutions) and to make warranted judgments about often-conflicting information in the context of uncertainty takes a fairly advanced level of cognitive development that most college students do not possess. Yet the processes that lead to cognitive development of this kind are very similar to those associated with well-designed service-learning experiences. Service-learning activities help students to reflect on complex problems and bring their experiences to bear on these puzzles, helping them move toward the ability to make well-reasoned decisions in the face of doubt.

Service-learning, by engaging students in rich problem-solving and experiential settings, allows students to construct and refine complex knowledge structures from which they are better equipped to understand complex social problems, apply what they have learned to new situations, and to develop the critical thinking abilities to make adequate judgments about the information they receive. At the same time, service-learning experiences often challenge students’ assumptions about particular problems and community issues they face, and reflection on such “cognitive dissonance” is a way in which individuals develop the capacity to understand and resolve complexity. Structured and continuous reflection - the cornerstone of effective service-learning pedagogy - is the key mechanism for stimulating this kind of cognitive growth.

THE IMPACT OF SERVICE-LEARNING ON ENHANCED ACADEMIC LEARNING

Research has demonstrated that courses incorporating service learning components generally provide greater learning benefits than those that do not, including a deeper understanding of course material, a better understanding of the complex problems people face, and an ability to apply course
material to new situations and real world problems. Service-learning experiences have also been shown to enhance students’ creativity, as they often require students to apply knowledge to novel situations in settings that have few resources.

**IMPACT OF SERVICE-LEARNING ON ENHANCED ACADEMIC LEARNING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Learning Leads To:</th>
<th>Evidence in Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Deeper Understanding of Course Material | Mckenna and Rizzo 1999  
Eyler and Giles 1999  
Balazadeh 1996  
Markus et al. 1993 |
| Enhanced Ability to Apply Course Materials to New Situations and Real World Problems | Rasmussen and Skinner 1997  
William, Youngflesh, and Bagg 1997  
Eyler and Giles 1999  
Markus, Howard, and King 1993 |
| Deeper Understanding of Causes of, and Solutions to, Complex Issues and Social Problems | Batchelder and Root 1994  
Boss 1994  
Eyler and Giles 1999  
Eyler and Halteman 1981  
Barron et al. 1998  
Bransford and Vye 1989  
Bransford and Schwartz 2000  
Mabry 1998 |
| Growth in Writing and Critical Thinking Skills Over Students’ College Career | Astin, Vogelgesand, Ikeda, and Yee 2000 |
| Higher Grades on Essay Tests, But Not Necessarily on Multiple Choice Questions | Kendrick 1996  
Strange 2000 |
Bhaerman et al. 1998  
Boss 1994  
Eyler and Halteman 1981 |
| Gains in Basic Thinking Processes Like Problem-Solving, Open-Mindedness, and Critical Thinking | Conrad and Hedin 1991 |
| Enhancement of Creativity as Students Apply Knowledge to Novel Situations in Settings that Have Few Resources | Osborne, Hammerich, and Hensley 1998  
Steinke, Fitch, Johnson and Walderstein (in press) |
Eyler and Giles 1991; 2001 |
When grades on standardized tests have been used to measure student learning, the relative benefits of service-learning courses are mixed. Some studies claim no significant difference in grades while others show that students earn higher grades from their service-learning courses. However, it should be noted that even if grades on standardized tests are minimally affected, service-learning makes significant contributions to qualitative differences in the understanding of academic material, including a greater depth of understanding, increased analytical skills, and a greater ability to apply what is learned. This makes some sense of the evidence that service-learning students do better on essay tests but not necessarily on multiple choice questions (Kendrick 1996; Strange 2000).

**IMPACT OF SERVICE LEARNING ON DIVERSITY AND CIVIC LEARNING**

Service learning has long been associated with important civic learning outcomes like enhancing students’ engagement with the community and developing their sense of civic responsibility. In addition, students participating in service-learning courses report a greater understanding of social problems (Austin and Sax 1998; Blyth, Saito, and Berkas 1997), greater knowledge and acceptance of diverse cultures and races (Austin and Sax 1998; McKenna and Rizzo 1999), a greater ability to get along with people of different backgrounds (Austin and Sax; McKenna and Rizzo), and increased awareness of their own biases (Rice and Brown 1998; Vadeboncoeur, Rahm, and Aquilera 1996).

While acquiring this important civic learning, student also provide meaningful outreach to people and organizations in need, a service generally valued by community partners (Driscoll, Holland, Gelmon and Kerrigan 1996; Gray et al. 1998; Nicro and Wortham 1998).

Service-learning experiences often provide students with an opportunity to gain knowledge about the larger community, especially those across lines of class, racial, ethnic, religious, and generational difference, and to learn about social issues that are often not adequately covered by the national media. By moving outside of themselves and encountering others in the community, students can come to a greater appreciation of the strengths and great capacities (assets) contained in the diverse groups and people that make up their community, their state, and their nation. In their community service work, students often experience “encounters with strangers” (Radest 1993) in which they face “alien” situations and “shocks of awareness” that lead to increased self-awareness. These “shocks of awareness” can also increase students’ need to confront their notions of otherness and construct more complex and multiplicitious notions of cultural diversity (Rhoads 2003; 1997). Students who are allowed to explore different social worlds come to see the sophisticated ways in which identities intersect and diverge and, at the same time, recognize common connections that many of them share with their peers and those they meet in the community. Social and cognitive development is facilitated as students move from comfort zones to contact zones and become “more comfortable with that which is different and more sophisticated in locating that which is similar”(Rhodes 2002). In this way, service-learning has an important role to play in helping students to develop complex selves capable of negotiating diverse cultural differences and enhancing their capacity to work with others, who often face vastly different circumstances, in efforts to achieve common purposes.

In a global democracy, higher education must give serious thought to structuring student learning and development in such a way to promote cross-cultural understanding and civic-mindedness. In strong democracies, people have to be able to listen to each other, to understand the places and interests of others in the community, and to achieve compromises and solve problems when conflicts occur. These are the kinds of skills students can successfully develop and enhance through their service work and through the critical classroom reflection activities that are central to effective service-learning experiences.
## IMPACT OF SERVICE-LEARNING ON STUDENT RETENTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE LEARNING….</th>
<th>EVIDENCE IN RESEARCH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Has A Positive Influence on Persistence in College | Bringle et al. 2002  
Gallini and Moely 2003 |
| Enhances Students Engagement With and Commitment To School | Astin and Sax 1997 |
| Is Positively Associated With Student Satisfaction in College | Astin and Sax 1998  
Roose et al. 1997 |
| Has Significant Impact on Students’ Social and Emotional Health | Eyler and Giles 1996; 1999  
Kendrick 1996  
Ostrow 1995  
Rhodes 1997 |
| Leads to Faculty’s Enhanced Understanding of Students, which Often Leads to Deeper Student-Faculty Connections | Pribbenow 2005 |
| Improves Students Academic Motivation (Compared to Non Service-Learning Courses) | Cohen and Kinsey 1994 |
| Has Positive Impact on Students’ Personal Development, Including Confidence in Political and Social Skills and Building Relationships With Others | Eyler and Giles 1997; 1999  
Kendrick 1996 |
| Promotes Interpersonal, Community, and Academic Engagement | Eyler and Giles 1999  
Bringle et al. 2002 |
| Facilitates Meaningful Connections Between Students, Faculty, and Community that Result in Retention | Braxton, Sullivan, and Johnson 1997  
Gallini and Moely 2003  
Astin, Vogelands and et al. 2000  
Eyler 2002 |
| Provides Meaningful Connections in A Way that Provides for Diversity, Which is Also Linked to Retention | Eyler and Giles 1999 |
| Provides Active Learning Techniques Such as Class Discussion and Higher Order Thinking Activities that are Positively Related to Student Retention | Braxton, Milem, and Sullivan 2000 |

Proponents of service-learning and student retention share a common concern for addressing the lack of “connectedness” in education and the failure to prepare students for life-long learning and participation. In fact, as Mundy and Eyler (2001) note, service learning seems a logical and necessary response to Tinto’s (1993) interactionalist model of student departure, which highlights the need to promote both students’ academic (cognitive) and social (affective) integration and to facilitate the development of meaningful connections between students, faculty, and community members that encourage retention.
In service learning classes, students receive “coaching” support from faculty, community partners, and peers as they navigate their service learning experiences, undertake worthwhile projects, and problem solve in a variety of settings. Service-learning is a particularly good fit because it provides these meaningful connections in ways that allow for diversity, which is also linked to retention (Eyler and Giles 1999).

Another strong link between the two is the centrality of active learning - a hallmark of both service-learning and student retention theory. A number of active learning techniques such as class discussions and higher order thinking activities have been positively related to student retention (Braxton, Milem, and Sullivan 2000). Good practice in service-learning promotes active learning, collaborative learning, and student involvement, all key strategies to assist students with both academic and social integration.

**IMPACT OF SERVICE LEARNING ON TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS**

Service-learning, as pedagogy, is distinct from traditional teaching in many ways, including the role of the student, the role of the instructor, and the kind of learning that is valued. Service-learning integration often asks faculty to rethink traditional pedagogical approaches, shifting from teacher-centered, lecture-based formats focused on information dissemination to synergistic classroom where responsibility for teaching and learning is shared by students and instructors (Howard 1998; 2003). Effective service learning courses tend to pursue models of active learning that promote inclusive student involvement and participation and place a strong emphasis on dialogue and deliberation as primary modes of teaching and learning. In curricular terms, service-learning courses include activities and resources that draw from and build upon students’ own experiences, creative ideas, and “funds of knowledge” to increase and diversify the intellectual resources available to all students and to bring to the surface assumptions, values, beliefs, and feelings that shape (and sometimes limit) students’ responses to new learning. Instructional approaches typically focus on active learning and include participatory lectures, full class and small group discussions, student-led panels and debates, and on-going opportunities for structured reflection that link students’ service experiences to central themes, concepts, and objectives of the course.

Given the methods mentioned above, it is clear that the effective integration of service learning into academic courses involves much greater time and effort in coordinating and structuring activities and class discussions, and much more attention to process than does a “traditional” classroom. Yet research demonstrates the benefits of such integration are significant, particularly in enhancing the achievement of the curricular goals of the course (Astin and Sax 1998; Cohen and Kinsey 1994, Eyler and Giles 1996; Grey et al. 1996; Kendricks 1996; Markus et al. 1993; Strange 2000.). In addition, research suggests that service-learning integration can lead to faculty members’ enhanced understanding of students, deeper faculty-student connections, a better sense of students’ learning styles, and insight into how students construct knowledge and experience the course (Pribbenow 2005). This is important because research on student learning outcomes has consistently shown that increased student-faculty interaction positively affects student learning (Austin 1993; Kuh et al. 1991; Pascarella 1980; Pascarella and Terenzini 1991).

Faculty integration of service-learning pedagogy and practice, as well as faculty association with the service-learning program, has also been shown to promote more meaningful engagement with and commitment to teaching and a greater sense of connection to other faculty and the institution (Pribbenow 2005). For some faculty, new knowledge of students and community-based experiences leads to changes in pedagogical practices, including more use of constructivist teaching and learning approaches and improved communication of theoretical concepts through the availability of community-based experiences (Pribbenow 2005).
Many faculty who choose to integrate service into their courses are cognizant of the positive impact that well-designed service-learning experiences can have on student learning outcomes. In fact, research suggests that faculty’s efforts to incorporate service-learning are most often motivated by a desire to improve their teaching (Hammond 1994; Pribbenow 2005). Alternatively, it is not surprising to find that faculty with little awareness of service-learning, or with negative perceptions of it, are less likely to integrate it than faculty with awareness and positive perceptions (Mundy 2003). Therefore, it is important to develop strategies for increasing faculty knowledge and awareness of service-learning as a valuable educational philosophy, instructional pedagogy, and institutional program.

THE IMPORTANCE OF INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT FOR SERVICE-LEARNING

It is clear that “service learning” means quite different things to different people. For example, some courses will require a service component but make less of a tangible or explicit connection to course objectives and learning outcomes whereas others will intentionally and elaborately integrate learning from the community with learning in the classroom. The former is often a compromised interpretation of academic service learning and will not yield academic outcomes that quality service learning does.

While service-learning courses may offer students the opportunity to experience communities first-hand, they may provide too little guidance to help students understand the lessons to be learned from that experience. In fact, if done inadequately, service-learning may not only fail to connect students to public life, it may actually reinforce student stereotypes about people who are “different” and harden previously held beliefs. For example, badly-mediated community engagement in culturally and socio-economically diverse settings may lead students to individualize social issues and problems, de-emphasize structural components and causes, and reinforce views of community members as deficient (Eby 1998). It is in this sense, as John Dewey (1938) has noted, that the discipline of experience may be “miseducative.” It is therefore important that students’ community involvement be subject to clear direction and development.

Research highlights the importance of careful planning, preparation, and partnership in assuring successful outcomes of service-learning. Effective, well-designed programs are those that include strategies for real partnerships with communities, are academically integrated and include deep and substantive reflection, and have a plan for how to deepen students’ civic learning. Some of the variables known to impact cognitive outcomes in service-learning courses are summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics Of Service-Learning Courses That Predict Better Cognitive Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHARACTERISTICS OF INSTRUCTION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality, frequency, and diversity of in-class reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLEAR SPECIFICATION OF LEARNING GOALS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both process and content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHOICE OF STRATEGIES FOR STUDENT PLACEMENTS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual vs. “team” service projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QUALITY OF SERVICE EXPERIENCE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety and challenge of work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reflection, as applied to service-learning, is perhaps best defined as “the intentional consideration of experience in light of particular learning objectives” (Hatcher and Bringle 1997: 153). Studies measuring quality of the service learning experience suggest that quality matters and that the quality which seems to matter most is the amount and type of reflection. Structured, intentional reflection activities build a bridge between concrete and abstract, connecting practice and theory, and can facilitate recognition of lessons in service experience that might not otherwise be acknowledged. Quality reflection activities help student integrate what they are bringing into the situation, what they are learning from the class portion of the course, and what they are gaining from their service experiences.

Research has demonstrated that reflective, compared to non-reflective, service-learning has a significant impact on development of intellectual components like knowledge, skills, and cognition. A recent study by Eyler (2002) shows that when students’ capacity for problem analysis were compared, only students in highly reflective courses showed significant progress in complexity of analysis, the tendency to frame the problem and solution in systematic ways rather than focusing on individual analyses, in coherence of a practical action strategy, and in cognitive development (Eyler and Giles 1999).

CONCLUSION

As an educational practice, service-learning fulfills the dual purpose of promoting outreach to communities and providing the means for distinctive undergraduate experiences. It does so by providing students access to diverse cultures through community involvement in a broad array of activities that extend learning, foster leadership skills, and promote civic responsibility.
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