

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY BUDGET COMMITTEE  
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO  
5241 N. Maple, M/S TA 43  
Fresno, California 93740-8027  
Office of the Academic Senate  
Ext. 8-2743

September 7, 2011

Members Present: J. Constable, P. Amaral, P. Newell, A. Parham, D. Nef, E. Junn

Members Absent:

Visitors:

The meeting was called to order by Chair Constable at 3:37 p.m. in Thomas 117.

1. Minutes. MSC to approve the Minutes of 31 August 2011
2. Agenda. MSC to approve the Agenda as distributed.
3. Communications and Announcements.

It was commented that the newly recommended DPT and the proposed DNP rely on having doctoral-level resources available at the library; however, potential costs to the library are infrequently addressed. It was suggested to add a point addressing this concern to the University Budget Committee Budget Analysis Guidelines and perhaps request a proposed program to speak with the library to accurately assess potential impact on the library budget.

A similar concern was voiced regarding the need for support personnel (e.g, technicians, statistical support, etc.).

4. New Business

None presented to the Committee.

5. Discussion of the Agenda for the 2011-2012 academic year.

Several items were noted regarding activities for the UBC for the upcoming year including:

- o Continued review of programs approved since 2002.
- o Efforts to better understand the fiscal impacts of the different Institutes and Centers on Campus. It was noted that during the summer of 2011 a number

of centers have closed or been condensed, therefore the UBC required an updated listing.

6. Discussion of the proposed Doctorate in Nursing Practice (DNP)

A first reading of the proposed DNP program generated both interest and confusion among the members of the Committee regarding its funding and the consistency of presentation between the provided budget spreadsheet and narrative describing the program. General points and questions noted included:

- The budget appears to be based on a Scenario 4, are there other possible scenarios with which the UBC ought to be concerned?
- Is the \$6552 fee firmly established? And how was this figure chosen?
- The spreadsheet indicates a three semester program with 45 students, but the narrative indicates in several locations a four semester program with 30 students.
- In the spreadsheet, is the state appropriation for 35 FTES or headcount?
- It is unclear why the budgets of year 1 with 45 students do not double in year 2 with 90 students.
- The spreadsheet and the narrative do not agree with respect to the faculty hires in year 1 and year 2. Year 1 in the narrative says 2 hires, but 3 are shown in the spreadsheet.
- The DNP coordinator will be receiving some release to coordinate, but the cost of hiring a backfill instructor does not appear to be present.
- Why does recruitment costs go up in year 2, but the number of hires (in the narrative) is the same as in year 1?
- What is the equipment budget to be used for?

The meeting was adjourned at 4:40 p.m.

Agenda for Wednesday 14 September 2011.

1. Approval of minutes of 7 September 2011.
2. Approval of agenda for 14 September 2011.
3. Communications and Announcements.
4. New Business.
5. Review of the Doctorate in Nursing Practice.