

MINUTES OF THE ACADEMIC INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
5240 N. Maple, M/S TA 43
Fresno, California 93740-8027

Office of the Academic Senate
Ext. 8-2743

October 18, 2010

Members Present: Kathy Moffitt, Patrick Newell, Ed Nelson, Rich Boes,
Mike Bach, Darrell Martin, John Cagle, Brent Auernheimer,
Mary Barakzai.

Members Absent: None.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Otto Benavides at 1:00 p.m. in the
Education Building room # 300.

1. Minutes. MSC to approve the Minutes of 9/13/10.
2. Agenda. MSC to approve the Agenda as distributed and
add a new item 8. APM 209 and renumber the
remaining item accordingly.
3. Communications and Announcements.

There were none.

4. Student Email User Name Assignment - Forwarded from the Academic Senate.

Otto Schweizer's letter raising questions about appropriateness of User I.D.
names selected by students was read and discussed. Rich Boes described ITS
practice, which allows students to change official Fresno State User I.D.
between semesters. The name needs to be constant throughout a semester.
AIT suggested providing information to students in ITS Help on criteria for
selecting and using User I.Ds. There is not information in the "application
stage"; the possibility of including suggestions for selecting an initial User I.D.
will be explored.

5. "University Computing Standards" - Brent Auernheimer.

<http://www.kennelbookstore.com/SiteText.aspx?id=Configuration>

The bookstore "standards" were examined. Questions involve who has
authority to recommend baseline standards. AIT will advise a minimum
specification for Dell and for Apple computers, refreshed on an annual basis.
The bookstore would provide its recommendations of laptops and desktops for
students meeting or exceeding these advisory recommendations.
AIT will calendar this topic for first meeting in March.

6. Smart Classroom Equipment Replacement Policy – Discussion.

Currently Smart Classrooms is currently funded by STLT on a 6-year refresh schedule. The equipment gets old before it gets refreshed. Some classrooms are paid for by departments. The challenges come with new technologies, such as digital outputs on laptops, yet classroom data projectors are still analog. Some projector hardware automatically adjusts, others do not. This summer all projectors will be changed to add digital input. Training will be a critical matter during this transition period.

7 Blackboard/ATI Faculty Support Concerns.

What hardware/software needs need to be met? E.g., captioning hardware/software or \$ for services. Issues of handicapped accessibility and accommodation were discussed.

Let's ask Janet Brown and Ellen Junn to come and discuss the planning for ensuring the budgets for the resources for ATI.

8. APM 209 Policy Discussion.

Draft policy (distributed by Dennis Nef) was discussed.

Suggestion: Some definitions are needed. What does "online" mean re: instruction led vs. students all on their own.

A question was raised re: II Responsibilities: "verify the identity of students" – how will the University accomplish this?

III.D: Courses originating off campus: question concerned academic approval vs. administrative.

9. New Business - Future Agenda Items.

A visionary ITS presentation on future of technology 5 and 10 years from now (e.g., coming "cloud technologies," etc.) will be scheduled for the mid-November meeting. Consider having this as an open meeting in a room that can accommodate an audience.

Intellectual property APM 540: such a push to put things online, raising ownership issues regarding ownership of intellectual property. "Extraordinary support" has always involved money or release time. The APM on intellectual property "seems tilted" toward the university vs. historic standards employed. Brent will prepare a report regarding student view on Blackboard so faculty can see it.

John Cagle