

Research Rewards Review Committee

Responsibility

The Research Awards Review Committee shall establish standards for evaluating those research projects which receive support. Such standards might include requirements of written reports or oral presentations immediately following the period of the award. In addition, faculty should report on later outcomes of their research (e.g., publication). A faculty member's Performance on supported research projects should be explicitly taken into account in evaluating his or her subsequent Applications.

The Research Awards Review Committee should devise the specific procedures for evaluating proposals. In general, proposals should be submitted to the faculty member's department for evaluation and ranking, they should then be sent to the school research committee for a second evaluation and ranking before sending the proposals to the Division of Graduate Studies and Research for consideration by the Research Awards Review Committee.

Membership

The Research Awards Review Committee shall consist of five members and an alternate elected at large from the tenured, full-time faculty. An alternate shall be the person (from a school not represented) receiving the highest number of votes but not elected to a regular seat. The alternate shall serve only when a vacancy occurs and only when in the judgment of the committee the process has not proceeded too far for the alternate to be effective